



## INSTITUTIONAL CONTEXT

## **CU BOULDER**

**R1** public university **26,000** undergraduates **6,000** graduate students Working in 3 departments: Integrative Physiology (1700 majors), Mechanical Engineering (800 majors), Physics (200 majors, 2500 students/year in service courses), with more (2-4) to come.

**Science Education Initiative (SEI):** improved teaching of science at CU (2008-2013)

AAU UNDERGRADUATE STEM EDUCATION INITIATIVE

**GOAL** To influence the culture of STEM departments at AAU universities so that they will use sustainable, student-centered, evidence-based, active learning pedagogy in their classes, particularly at the first-year and sophomore levels.



# Two Models of Institutional Change in STEM Departments

Joel C. Corbo, Daniel Reinholz, Melissa Dancy, Stanley Deetz, Noah Finkelstein PER Group & Center for STEM Learning, University of Colorado Boulder



## **REVIEW OF THEORIES OF CHANGE**

"[Leveraging] relevant shifts in departmental values and practices is the critical factor in determining whether the efforts of faculty—as individuals and groups—and of their institutions, will be able to improve the quality of [STEM] education[.]" — Seymour, Sci. Educ. 86, 79–105 (2002)

## **REASONS WHY FACULTY USE PEER INSTRUCTION**

"[D]ata was generally only a confirmation of what they already believed and not a strongly convincing factor... dissemination should focus on methods that involve direct and personal contact with faculty[.]" — Dancy, Turpen, and Henderson, AIP Conf. Proc. 1289, 117 (2010)

# KEY FINDINGS IN CHANGE LITERATURE





# **MIDDLE-OUT MODEL IMPLEMENTATION**

Individual capacity building through training, mindfulness, and responsibility.

Vision creating through a compelling future perspective which integrates personal and organizational goals

Success convinces administration to support and amplify this approach

**Department** culture of student-centered learning

Positive student outcomes emerge through unproscribed faculty actions

**ONE TO TWO YEAR TIMELINE** A one or two day **retreat** to develop a vision, mental maps, assessment criteria, and a process going forward.

Create working groups, e.g. establishing program learning goals, revising reward systems, and creating a supportive environment for innovations and positive

**Assess** progress, **reflect** on successes and lessons learned, and **adjust** process at regular intervals.